

CONTENTS

1. TORT AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER AREAS OF LAW.....	1
TORT AND ACCIDENT COMPENSATION	1
<i>Unwanted pregnancies.....</i>	1
<i>Mental injury caused by criminal conduct</i>	11
TORT AND CONTRACT	14
<i>Concurrent liability.....</i>	14
<i>Contribution by a contracting party?.....</i>	17
TORT AND EQUITY	19
<i>Introduction: the Altimarloch decision.....</i>	19
<i>Contribution in equity.....</i>	20
<i>Impact of Altimarloch.....</i>	23
2. NEGLIGENCE.....	25
METHODOLOGY OF THE DUTY OF CARE.....	25
<i>Introduction.....</i>	25
<i>North Shore City Council v Attorney-General</i>	26
<i>An appraisal</i>	29
LEAKY BUILDINGS	32
<i>Background: Murphy and Hamlin.....</i>	32
<i>Residential buildings: Sunset Terraces, Byron Avenue</i>	35
<i>Commercial buildings: Spencer on Byron.....</i>	37
<i>An appraisal of the defective building cases</i>	42
<i>Claims against the Building Industry Authority: Sacramento, The Grange.....</i>	47
<i>Directors of building companies: Siena Villas</i>	48
LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES.....	49
<i>Introduction.....</i>	49
<i>The problem presented by public authorities</i>	50
<i>Different approaches to the duty of care on a public authority.....</i>	51
<i>The basic approach</i>	53
<i>Does the statutory scheme exclude a duty of care?</i>	53
<i>The discretionary/policy/operational distinction.....</i>	54
<i>Omissions (non-feasance) vs positive action (misfeasance).....</i>	55
<i>Control</i>	55
<i>Plaintiff's own negligence or fault</i>	56
<i>Separate action by the public authority creating a relationship of proximity.....</i>	57
<i>Conclusion.....</i>	58
LIABILITY FOR THE PROVISION OF FINANCIAL ADVICE.....	59
<i>Introduction.....</i>	59
<i>The liability of a financial advisor</i>	60
<i>Liability of lawyers.....</i>	63
<i>Conclusions</i>	66
3. VICARIOUS LIABILITY	69
INTRODUCTION	69
WHOSE TORT?.....	69
POLICY BASIS	72
PERSONAL LIABILITY IN RESPECT OF THE CONDUCT OF ANOTHER	74
<i>Local authority landlord and tenant.....</i>	75
<i>Probation service and parolee</i>	76
<i>Nightclub owner and patron.....</i>	78
RELATIONSHIPS GIVING RISE TO VICARIOUS LIABILITY	80
<i>Relationships analogous to employment</i>	80
<i>Dual vicarious liability.....</i>	83
THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE RELATIONSHIP AND THE WRONG.....	83
<i>The 'close connection' test</i>	84
<i>Drawing the line</i>	87
<i>The authority of agents.....</i>	89

4. THE ECONOMIC TORTS	93
INTRODUCTION	93
<i>ALLEN v FLOOD AND THE DIFFICULTIES THAT FOLLOWED</i>	93
NEW ZEALAND CASES PRE- <i>OOG v ALLAN</i>	95
<i>OOG v ALLAN</i>	96
THE RECEPTION IN NEW ZEALAND OF OOG V ALLAN – DIVER V LOKTRONIC INDUSTRIES LTD	99
CONCLUSION.....	102
5. DAMAGES.....	103
INTRODUCTION	103
REMOTENESS	104
<i>The traditional approach to remoteness in negligence.....</i>	104
<i>The scope of the duty of care – SAAMCO</i>	105
<i>Sherwin Chan & Walshe Ltd v Jones</i>	108
<i>Scott v Wilson</i>	110
<i>MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL v ALTIMARLOCH</i>	111
CONCLUSION.....	114
6. LIMITATION OF ACTIONS	115
OVERVIEW	115
ACCRAUL OF A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE	116
<i>General principles.....</i>	116
<i>Date of damage</i>	117
<i>Contingencies</i>	117
<i>Some examples</i>	119
LATENT DEFECTS IN PROPERTY	121
<i>Date of accrual in defective building cases</i>	121
<i>The longstop bar.....</i>	122
<i>Successive owners</i>	124
THE LIMITATION ACT 2010.....	129
<i>Primary period, late knowledge period and longstop period</i>	130
<i>Overview.....</i>	133
7. TORTS AND LITIGATION	135
REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS.....	135
<i>Introduction</i>	135
<i>What is a representative action?</i>	136
<i>The Feltex Litigation</i>	137
MAINTENANCE AND CHAMPERTY	140
<i>Introduction</i>	140
<i>Feltex litigation</i>	140
<i>Kain v Wynn Williams & Co.....</i>	143
EXPERT WITNESS IMMUNITY	144
<i>Introduction</i>	144
8. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS.....	149
THE DOCTRINE OF EX TURPI CAUSA NON ORITUR ACTIO	149
INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION INTO SECLUSION.....	152
DEFAMATION AND THE INTERNET	155
JOINT TORTFEASORS	158